



# **Mental Health Services Act Oversight and Accountability Commission**

**June, 2006**



# **THE CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT**

## **Vision and Mission**

---

### **A state where:**

- ❖ Community members and policymakers work together;
- ❖ There is respect for the experience and knowledge of people most affected by health disparities;
- ❖ Everyone has a strong sense of self-worth and practices healthy lifestyles;
- ❖ Diversity is a source of strength for communities;
- ❖ Health problems are addressed promptly and effectively;
- ❖ Prevention is a shared agenda and partnership is the norm.

### **Mission**

**To expand access to affordable, quality health care for underserved individuals and communities, and to promote fundamental improvements in the health status of all Californians.**



# Evolution

---

- The California Endowment - a health insurance conversion foundation responsible for distribution of funds for public benefit
- Develop an organization and distribute about \$150 million/year simultaneously
- 1996-2003: Responsive mode – lots of learning, unclear collective impact.
- 2003: Board approved a 5-year strategic plan to guide activities toward an identified set of goals through which we can be held accountable for outcomes.
- 2005: Modified the strategic plan to refine our organizational structure and streamline the goals even further.
- 2006: Beginning strategic planning process to implement in 2008.



# The Endowment Today

---

## Goals:

- ❖ All Californians must have access to health services;
- ❖ Health systems must provide culturally competent, high quality services;
- ❖ Individuals and families must reside in communities that support health promotion and disease prevention. (Community health/elimination of health disparities)

## Strategies:

- ❖ Foundation-driven initiatives and projects that respond to community-identified needs.
- ❖ Focus on organizational capacity-building, policy development, and strengthening advocacy.
- ❖ Engagement in grassroots and treetops concurrently.
- ❖ Learning organization – reliant on evaluation that is participatory.
- ❖ Strategic use of the foundation's other resources – staff expertise, leadership, bully pulpit.



## **A Case Example: Frequent Utilizers Initiative**

---

- **Emergency departments in California are experiencing a large volume of patients, many of whom have complex, unmet needs not effectively or efficiently treated in high-cost, emergency or acute, hospital-based settings.**
- **2003 - \$10 million, five-year statewide program to improve the medical care and overall health of chronically ill, uninsured individuals who are frequent utilizers of emergency rooms and hospitals when they have a medical crisis, and do not seek ongoing primary, preventive care services.**
- **Six implementation projects in California; one hospital + other services and agencies in each county are developing cost-effective, comprehensive, and coordinated health care delivery approaches that are responsive to the unique medical needs of these individuals.**
- **Evaluation team was involved as soon as the projects were selected.**



## FUI Initial findings (unofficial)

---

- The population is not just those with medical conditions who are poor, as expected. It includes many with SPMI, addictions, co-occurring disorders, and/or no housing. Nearly all were uninsured.
- The start-up phase was longer than anticipated, despite each site demonstrating readiness for implementation of system changes.
- Key evaluation questions:
  - Cost and utilization outcomes
  - System and policy barriers and opportunities
  - Participant outcomes and satisfaction



# Friendly Advice from One Health Funder to Another

---

- This is an opportune time to clearly identify initial goals and outcomes for prevention and innovation;
- A Public Health framework can help to guide discussions of goals, accountability, and organizational structure.
- A theory of change/logic modeling process couldn't hurt.
  - What do you want to do?
  - How do you want to do this?
  - Who are your key partners/stakeholders?
  - How will you know whether you are succeeding?
  - How will you communicate successes, problems, lessons learned?
  - Who do you want to applaud/join the problem-solving?
  - What needs to be done to create policy facilitators/eliminate policy barriers?
- Evaluation experts should be involved sooner vs. later.
- Even though people are watching, allow for change that is informed by data from many sources.
- Trailblazers get help when they need it.